

SHELDON & MAK

A Professional Corporation
225 South Lake Avenue, 9th Floor
Pasadena, California 91101-3005
Telephone (626) 796-4000
Facsimile (626) 795-6321
www.usip.com

#7
B-8-3
**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

DEC 01 2003

OFFICIAL**FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET****TO:**

NAME	Mail Stop RCE Group / Art Unit 2141
FIRM/COMPANY	United States Patent and Trademark Office
FACSIMILE NUMBER	703.746.7238
YOUR REFERENCE	System for Tracking Files Transmitted Over the Internet Serial No. 09/474,317 Filing Date: December 29, 1999

FROM:

NAME	Robert J. Rose Reg. No. 47.037	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	626.796.4000	
OUR REFERENCE	Docket No. 12961	
DATE/TIME	December 1, 2003	2:20 p.m.

Total number of pages (including fax cover sheet): 34

If you do not receive all of the pages, please call Trina L. Chamberlain at (626) 796-4000.**MESSAGE:**

Attached please find the following documents regarding the above-referenced application:

1. Transmittal;
2. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal;
3. Amendment and Reply;
4. Copy of final Office Action dated May 30, 2003;
5. Fee Transmittal for FY 2004
6. Certificate of Transmission by Facsimile.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain privileged and confidential information. Do not disclose or discuss this information with anyone other than those identified above. Unauthorized disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you receive this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately.

09/474,317

12961

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : HOMER, Gregg S.
Serial Number : 09/474,317
Filing Date : December 29, 1999
Title : SYSTEM FOR TRACKING FILES
TRANSMITTED OVER THE INTERNET

Group/Art Unit : 2152
Examiner : MIRZA, Adnan M.

TRANSMITTAL

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is the following:

- (a) Request for Continued Examination Transmittal;
- (b) Amendment and Reply;
- (c) Petition for Extension of Time;
- (d) Copy of final Office Action dated May 30, 2003; and
- (e) Fee Transmittal for FY 2004 to be charged to Sheldon & Mak Deposit Account No. 19-2090.

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile via (703) 746.7238 to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Printed Name: Trina L. ChamberlainTrina L. Chamberlain

Signature

December 1, 2003

Date

09/474,317

12961

If there is any additional fee which is due with regard to this matter, the
Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of that fee to Deposit Account
Number 19-2090.

Respectfully submitted,
SHELDON & MAK PC

Date: 12/1/03By: Robert J. Rose

Robert J. Rose
Reg. No. 47,037

SHELDON & MAK PC
225 South Lake Avenue, 9th Floor
Pasadena, California 91101-3005
Telephone (626) 796.4000
Facsimile (626) 795.6321



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,317	12/29/1999	GREGG HOMER	12961	2106

7590 05/30/2003
SHELDON & MAK
225 SOUTH LAKE AVENUE
SUITE 900
PASADENA, CA 91101EXAMINER
MIRZA, ADNAN MART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2141 6

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003

Final OA dated 5/30/03
2nd Month Response due 7/30/03
6th Month Response due 12/1/03

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/474,317

Applicant(s)

HOMER, GREGG

Examiner

Adnan M Mirza

Art Unit

2141

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 March 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317
Art Unit: 2141

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gabbard et al (U.S. 6,205,432) and in view of Eggleston et al (U.S. 6,101,531).

As per claims 1,7,10,20,22 Gabbard disclosed a method for tracking the transmission of a digital file over the Internet comprising the steps of: receiving packets constituting segments of the file in transit over the Internet; examining file headers in said packets to determine the presence of specific identifying indicia therein (col. 12, lines 2-30); and.

However Gabbard failed to disclose recording the Internet Protocol header source address for each of the packets containing said specific identifying indicia. In the same field of endeavor Eggleston disclosed recording the Internet Protocol header source address for each of the packets containing said specific identifying indicia (col. 8, lines 22-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that invention was made to have incorporated recording the Internet Protocol header source address for each of the packets containing said specific identifying indicia as taught by Eggleston in the method of Gabbard to make the method more secure and reduce latency in processing the packets.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317
Art Unit: 2141

Page 3

3. As per claim 2 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed including the additional step of recording the Internet Protocol header destination address for the file (Eggleston, col. 8, lines 22-63).
4. As per claims 3,4 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed including the additional step of transmitting said identifying indicia and said source Internet address to a proprietor of the file (Eggleston, col. 12, lines 43-67).
5. As per claims 5,8,21 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed wherein said examining step further includes: searching said file headers for TCP headers containing port numbers indicative of an email message; searching each of said packets (Gabbard, col. 11, lines 45-67), in which port numbers indicative of email messages were found, for an attachment; and when said attachment is found, locating the source Internet address in an IP header for the file containing the attachment (Gabbard, col. 12, lines 1-32).
6. As per claims 6,9,13,26 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed wherein said identifying indicia comprises a user defined character sequence selected from the group consisting of: an extension to an existing file format, prepended to the file; a sequence of bits embedded in the file; and an absence of code in a predefined area within the file (Gabbard, col. 16, lines 24-42).
7. As per claim 11, this claim differ from the claim 1 in that recording step, e.g. claim 1 recites "recording the Internet Protocol header source address" and claim 11 recites the same thing with different wording therefore, it is rejected accordingly.
8. As per claim 12 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed wherein said examining step further includes: searching said file headers for TCP headers containing port numbers indicative of email messages (Gabbard, col. 11, lines 17-32); searching each of said packets, in which port

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317
Art Unit: 2141

Page 4

numbers indicative of email messages were found, for a MIME header indicative of an attachment (Gabbard, col. 12, lines 1-7); and when said MIME header indicative of an attachment is found: searching a header directly prepended to the file to find said identifying indicia therein, when said MIME header is indicative of an attachment containing a type of said file sought; and locating the source Internet address in an IP header for the file containing the attachment, when said identifying indicia is found (Gabbard, col. 12, lines 7-67).

9. As per claim 14 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed substantially the invention including a method for tracking the transmission of a digital file over the Internet comprising the steps of: placing identifying indicia in said digital file; using a data communications monitoring device to capture packets of information being transmitted via the Internet (Gabbard, col. 12, lines 2-30); examining certain ones of said packets to determine the presence of said identifying indicia in said file (Eggleston, col. 12, lines 47-57); and recording the source and destination Internet addresses for each of the packets containing said identifying indicia, and the identity of the file associated therewith (Eggleston, col. 8, lines 22-63).

10. As per claim 15 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed wherein said identifying indicia is prepended to said header (Eggleston, col. 10, lines 34-40).

11. As per claim 16 Gabbard disclosed wherein said identifying indicia is embedded in said file (col. 12, lines 3-32).

12. As per claim 17 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed the invention substantially including a method for tracking the transmission of a digital file over the Internet comprising the steps of: receiving packets constituting segments of the file in transit over the Internet; searching said packets for TCP headers containing port numbers indicative of email messages (Gabbard, col.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317
Art Unit: 2141

Page 5

11, lines 17-32); searching each of said packets, in which said port numbers indicative of email messages were found, for a MIME header indicative of an attachment; and when said MIME header indicative of an attachment is found (Gabbard, col. 12, lines 1-7): searching a header directly prepended to the file to locate said identifying indicia therein, when said MIME header is indicative of an attachment containing a type of said file sought; locating the source Internet address in an IP header for the file containing the attachment containing the type of said file sought, when said identifying indicia is located; and recording, for each of the packets containing said identifying indicia, the source Internet address for the file (Eggleston, col. 8, lines 22-63).

13. As per claims 18,19 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed including the additional step of transferring said identifying indicia and said source Internet address to a proprietor of the file (Eggleston, col. 8, lines 22-63).

14. As per claim 23 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed wherein said identifying indicia is located in a header having a field indicating that the frame size thereof is zero bytes in length (Eggleston, col. 8, lines 45-50).

15. As per claims 24,25 Gabbard-Eggleston disclosed wherein said identifying indicia is located in a header having a frame size field indicating that there is no information field appended to the frame size field (Eggleston, col. 10, lines 34-40).

Applicant Arguments are as follows:

16. Applicant argued that following parts of the claims have not been disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317
Art Unit: 2141

Page 6

As to applicants arguments the following parts of the claims has been disclosed by the prior art.

1. The combination of examining file headers in file packets being transmitted over Internet for specific identifying indicia and recording the IP header source address for each packet containing the indicia (Eggleston, col. 8, lines 22-36). Eggleston taught different attributes in the header where these attributes included priority values and client Identification values.
2. The above combination including recording the IP destination address for the file (Eggleston, col. 8, lines 22-63). Eggleston taught all different values such as different attributes can be assigned and stored.
3. The first combination including transmitting the identifying indicia and the source to a proprietor of the file (Eggleston, col. 12, lines 55-63).
4. The first combination wherein the examining includes searching the file headers for TCP, headers having port numbers indicative of email messages, searching those packets for attachments, and locating the source Internet address in an IP header for files containing the attachments (col. 12, lines 55-63).
5. The above combinations wherein the searching for attachments includes searching for MIME headers indicative of attachments, and searching a directly prepended file header for the identifying indicia when the MIME header indicates an attachment having a sought file type (Gabbard, col. 10, lines 1-32).

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317
Art Unit: 2141

Page 7

6. The first combination wherein the identifying indicia includes a prepended extension to an existing file format, an imbedded bit sequence, or absence of code in predefined file area (Gabbard, col. 16, lines 24-42).

7. A system including a server, a monitor, and either a router or a modem, wherein the monitor is programmed to perform the process of the first combination (Gabbard, col. 12, lines 2-30).

8. The above system wherein the monitor is further programmed according to the fourth combination (Gabbard, col. 12, lines 1-32).

9. The above system wherein the identifying indicia includes a prepended extension to an existing file format, an imbedded bit sequence, or absence of code in a predefined file area (Gabbard, col. 16, lines 24-42).

17. Applicant argued that there is no *prima facie* case of obviousness not only because a combination of references fails to provide applicants invention.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317

Page 8

Art Unit: 2141

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, Eggleston and Gabbard both prior art reading on selecting email or messages on the basis of the client profile and selected attributes. Where Eggleston using different value to select different messages.

Conclusion

18. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317
Art Unit: 2141

Page 9

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (703)-305-4633.
20. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703)-305-4815. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

21. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT");

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

22. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/474,317

Page 10

Art Unit: 2141

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4th Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II,
2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner



B. Jaroechonwanit

Primary Examiner

PTO/SB/17 (10-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. CMB 0851.0032
Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**FEE TRANSMITTAL
for FY 2004**

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) \$860.00

Complete If Known

Application Number	09/474,317
Filing Date	December 29, 1999
First Named Inventor	HOMER, Gregg
Examiner Name	MIRZA, Adrian M.
Art Unit	2141
Attorney Docket No.	12961

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other ☐ None

☒ Deposit Account

Deposit Account Number: 19-2090

Deposit Account Name: Sheldon & Mak

The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☒ Credit any overpayments

☐ Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)

☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.

FEE CALCULATION**1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
1001 770	2001 385	Utility filing fee	
1002 340	2002 170	Design filing fee	
1003 530	2003 265	Plant filing fee	
1004 770	2004 385	Reissue filing fee	
1005 180	2005 80	Provisional filing fee	
SUBTOTAL (1)			(\$)

2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
0	-20** =	0	0.00
0	-3** =	0	0.00
Multiple Dependent			

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
1202 18	2202 9	Claims in excess of 20	
1201 86	2201 43	Independent claims in excess of 3	
1203 290	2203 145	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	
1204 86	2204 43	** Reissue independent claims over original patent	
1205 18	2205 9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	
SUBTOTAL (2)			(\$) \$0.00

**or number previously paid, if greater. For Reissues, see above

FEE CALCULATION (continued)**3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
1051 130	2051 65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
1052 50	2052 25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
1053 130	1053 130	Non - English specification	
1812 2,520	1812 2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
1804 920*	1804 920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
1805 1,840*	1805 1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
1251 110	2251 55	Extension for reply within first month	
1252 420	2252 210	Extension for reply within second month	
1253 950	2253 475	Extension for reply within third month	475.00
1254 1,480	2254 740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
1255 2,010	2255 1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1401 930	2401 185	Notice of Appeal	
1402 330	2402 165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
1403 290	2403 145	Request for oral hearing	
1451 1,510	1451 1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
1452 110	2452 55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
1453 1,330	2453 665	Petition to revive - unintentional	
1501 1,330	2501 665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
1502 480	2502 240	Design issue fee	
1503 640	2503 320	Plant issue fee	
1460 130	1460 130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
1807 50	1807 50	Processing fee under 37 CFR § 1.17(q)	
1808 180	1808 180	Submission of Information Disclosure Statement	
8021 40	8021 40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
1809 770	2809 385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
1810 770	2810 385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
1801 770	2801 385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	385.00
1802 900	1802 900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

Other fee (specify)

*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) \$860.00

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type): Robert J. ROSE

Signature: *Robert J. Rose*

Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)

47,037

Complete (if applicable)

Telephone

626.796.4000

Date

December 1, 2003

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8).			Docket No.
Applicant(s): HOMER, Gregg S.			12961
Serial No. 09/474,317	Filing Date December 29, 1999	Examiner MIRZA, Adnan M.	Group Art Unit 2141
Invention: SYSTEM FOR TRACKING FILES TRANSMITTED OVER THE INTERNET			
<p>I hereby certify that this <u>RCE Transmittal; Amendment/Reply; Petition for Extension; Fee Transmittal</u> (Identify type of correspondence)</p> <p>is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Fax. No. <u>703.746.7238</u>)</p> <p>on <u>December 1, 2003</u> (Date)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Trina L. Chamberlain</u> (Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Trina L. Chamberlain</u> (Signature)</p>			
<p style="text-align: center;">Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.</p>			

P18/REV01